2020-21 Campus Improvement Plan

Accountability Rating: B

School Name

Farwell Junior High School

Principal

School ID

District Name

Date of School Board Approval

185902041

Kristy White

Farwell Independent School District

2019-20 Campus Site-Based Committee

Name	Position	Committee Role
Sharon Chacon	Teacher	
Patti Johnson	Teacher	
Steve Doolittle	Teacher	
Hileana Jaime	Campus Secretary	Translator
Connie Bailey	Educational Aide/Librarian	
Megan Terry	Teacher	
Miriam Olmos	Parent	
Hayley Christian	Curriculum	
Christi Drager	Special Education Teacher	

Table of Contents

2019-20 Campus Site-Based Committee	2
Mission Statement	4
Vision	4
Plan Location and Revision Dates	4
State Goals and Objectives	5
The State of Texas Public Education Mission and Academic Goals	5
The State of Texas Public Education Goals	5
The State of Texas Public Education Objectives	5
TEA Commissioner's Strategic Priorities:	6
Federal, State and Local Funding Sources	7
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Summary	8
Student Performance Data	
Reading	
Writing	23
English I	24
English II	25
Mathematics	26
Algebra I	31
Science	31
Biology	
Social Studies	33
U.S History	35
Goals and Strategies	36
Summary of Expenditures in this Plan	66
Total Allocations and Expenditures by Funding Source	66
Total Expenditures by Object Type	67
Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source	68

Mission Statement

...each student will have the opportunity to enrich to the fullest his/her potential for mental, physical, social and emotional development in order that each student may grow in Wisdom, Stature, and in Favor with God and Man.

Vision

Farwell Junior High School is committed to leading the way through progressive technology and advanced instructional techniques to develop an educational experience that produces successful life-long learners.

Plan Location and Revision Dates

Our mission is one of teamwork. We will love, encourage, protect and elevate students in and out of the classroom. This investment of our time will create a successful version of each students both now and in the future. This plan is located in the campus office and on the website at https://www.farwellschools.org. The plan is availbale in English and interpretation in Spanish available upon request.

State Goals and Objectives

The State of Texas Public Education Mission and Academic Goals

The mission of the public education system of this state is to ensure that all Texas children have access to a quality education that enables them to achieve their potential and fully participate now and in the future in the social, economic, and educational opportunities of our state and nation. That mission is grounded on the conviction that a general diffusion of knowledge is essential for the welfare of this state and for the preservation of the liberties and rights of citizens. It is further grounded on the conviction that a successful public education system is directly related to a strong, dedicated, and supportive family and that parental involvement in the school is essential for the maximum educational achievement of a child.

The State of Texas Public Education Goals

- **GOAL #1:** The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the reading and writing of the English language.
- **GOAL #2:** The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of mathematics.

GOAL #3: The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of science.

GOAL #4: The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of social studies.

The State of Texas Public Education Objectives

- **Objective #1:** Parents will be full partners with educators in the education of their children.
- **Objective #2:** Students will be encouraged and challenged to meet their full educational potential.
- **Objective #3:** Through enhanced dropout prevention efforts, all students will remain in school until they obtain a high school diploma.
- **Objective #4:** A well-balanced and appropriate curriculum will be provided to all students. Through that curriculum, students will be prepared to succeed in a variety of postsecondary activities, including employment and enrollment in institutions of higher education.

Objective #5: Educators will prepare students to be thoughtful, active citizens who have an appreciation for the basic values of our state and national heritage and who can understand and productively function in a free enterprise society.

- **Objective #6:** Qualified and highly effective personnel will be recruited, developed, and retained.
- **Objective #7:** The state's students will demonstrate exemplary performance in comparison to national and international standards.
- **Objective #8:** School campuses will maintain a safe and disciplined environment conducive to student learning.
- **Objective #9:** Educators will keep abreast of the development of creative and innovative techniques in instruction and administration using those techniques as appropriate to improve student learning.
- **Objective #10:** Technology will be implemented and used to increase the effectiveness of student learning, instructional management, staff development, and administration.
- **Objective #11:** The State Board of Education, the agency, and the commissioner shall assist school districts and charter schools in providing career and technology education to students.

TEA Strategic Plan

By the year 2030, projections indicate that most jobs will require some training beyond high school. This could be a traditional 4-year degree, a 2-year associate's, or an industry credential. But today, too few Texas students are obtaining postsecondary credentials. Without bold action, Texas faces a future of diminished incomes, opportunities and resources. Initiated by the Higher Education Coordinating Board, the 60x30TX Plan is designed to ensure that a competitive and prosperous future remains for students seeking to better their lives and the lives of their families. The state has adopted 60x30TX as our collective goal.

In an effort to support far more rapid improvements in student outcomes to meet the goals of 60x30TX, the Texas Education Agency has adopted a strategic plan. The plan includes four strategic priorities and built on three supporting actions to guide our work on behalf of the more than five million school children in our state.

Every child, prepared for success in college, a career or the military.											
TEA Commissioner's Strategic Priorities:											
2	3	4									
Recruit, support, retainBuild a foundation of readingConnect high school to careerImprove low-performingteachers & principalsand mathand collegeschools											
Enal	blers										
arency, fairness and rigor in district	t and campus academic and financia	al performances									
ire compliance , effectively implem	ent legislation and inform policyma	kers									
Strengthen organizational foundations (resource efficiency, culture, capabilities, partnerships)											
	TEA Commissioner's 2 Build a foundation of reading and math Enal arency, fairness and rigor in district are compliance, effectively implement	Z 3 Build a foundation of reading and math Connect high school to career and college Enablers arency, fairness and rigor in district and campus academic and financia are compliance, effectively implement legislation and inform policyma									

Federal, State and Local Funding Sources

Federal funding sources will be integrated and coordinated with State and Local funds to meet the needs of all students.

This schoolwide program will consolidate funds in the following way: <u>Title I, Part A only</u> [ESSA Sec. 1114(b)(7)(B)]

Federal Programs	Total Expenditures
Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$	
State or Local Programs	Total Expenditure
Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$	
Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$	

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Summary

Demographics

Overall Summary

- Based on our 2018-2019 TAPR Data for FJH, our student groups consist of 0.0% African American, 57.4% Hispanic, 45.3% White, 0.0% American Indian, 0.0%, Asian, 0.0% Two or More Races, and 59.4% Economically Disadvantaged.
- English Learners (EL) 17.2% (State 20.3%); Students with Disciplinary Placements (2018-2019) was 0%, and At-Risk students are 49.2% (State 50.1%), Mobility rate for 2018-2019 was 6.3% (State 15.4%). The Campus Improvement committee met on February 26, 2021, and determined our focus by looking at data from our TAPR, current CIP, surveys of parents and teachers, curriculum needs and professional development.

Summary of Strengths What were the identified strengths?

Summary of Needs

What were the identified needs?

• With the growing and increasingly diverse student demographics, Farwell Junior High School needs to continue to find ways to better meet the needs of all of our students that will ensure their continued success both while in school and after graduation. With a growing number of students considered EL, we must continue to look for ways to enhance instruction and learning opportunities for our EL students by engaging in professional development in Sheltered Instruction and supporting English Learners in content areas.

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

We will use money this year to target math and reading intervention.

Student Achievement

Overall Summary

- Farwell Junior High school is focused on learning and the learning process. We strive to use progressive technology and advanced instructional techniques to engage our students to help create life-long learners who are college and work force ready. Our mission is to be committed to excellence in everything we do at school and for our students, staff, and community.
- This year, we have continued the transition to a new accountability system. A district accountability summary.
- The school received 4 Distinction Designations in the following: Mathematics, Comparative Academic Growth, Comparative Closing the Gaps, and Postsecondary Readiness

Index 1: Student Achievement Domain

- Farwell Junior High School received an Accountablity Rating of a B.
- The 2018-2019 TAPR report shows the STAAR/EOC measure for All Grades across the school, students Approaching Grade Level or above in each area tested.

0		All	Subjects	83%
0	Reading			82%
0	Mathematics			90%
0	Writing			80%
0	Science			88%
0	Social	St	udies	69%

Index 2: Student Progress Domain

- Focuses on actual student growth independent of overall achievement levels for each race/ethnicity student group, students with disabilities, and English Learners. Farwell Junior High School received a Met Standard rating, earning a Distinction Designation with a score of 81.
- The TAPR report shows we are meeting or exceeding progress in all areas of the STAAR improvement score in excess of 50%.
- o Reading,

71%

o Mathematics,74%

Index 3: Closing Performance Gaps Domain

• Farwell Junior High School received a rating of 76 on Closing Performance Gaps.

Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness

• Emphasizes the importance for students to receive a high school diploma that provides them with the foundation necessary for success in college, the workforce, job training programs, or the military; and the role of elementary and middle schools in preparing students for high school. This year, it is based on those meeting STAAR performance, graduation rates and the percent of students in the recommended or advanced high school programs.

Summary of Strengths

What were the identified strengths?

- The strengths for Farwell Junior High in student achievement for 2018-2019 include the following areas:
- Received an Accountability Rating of a B.
- Earned a Distinction for Academic Achievement in Math.

Summary of Needs What were the identified needs?

- Raw data from the recent STAAR Exams show that we need work in all four core subject areas and at all levels of testing. Initially, it appears that Reading, Writing, and Social Studies are the three lowest levels followed by Science and Math.
- District data indicates several areas needed for improvement:
- o Improve all content areas Reading/ELA, Math, Science, and Social Studies. All content areas will focus on reading and writing in order to build literacy and fluency.
- o Target and improve Reading, Special Education, and English learners sub groups in all content areas.
 - We will continue to improve in overall performance on all STAAR exams and strife to have more students reach the Meets and Masters levels.

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

We will utilize funds to focus on reading and science.

School Culture and Climate

Overall Summary

• In 2018-19 according to the TEA Accountability Survey, Farwell Junior High School attendance rate was 97.3%.

Farwell	ISD	Plan	for	Excellence	-	Continue	to	use	this	plan	as	а	model	for	our	future.	
---------	-----	------	-----	------------	---	----------	----	-----	------	------	----	---	-------	-----	-----	---------	--

- Use data to show the needs of the school, the needs of our students, and why we need to be willing to change our approach.
- Determine our needs and willingness to develop our exceptional systems. Activities may include: a) develop a staff commitment statement for each campus and the district based on the idea of achievement for all students (post in front of school); b) develop a system to promote, enhance, and allow staff collaboration with main focus on student achievement; c) review our current data and develop a system of Smart Goals for student achievement; d) make sure TEKS are aligned and functional in all areas as our curriculum and standards; e) develop and/or review our system of assessments and review of the data; f) develop and/or review our structured response to intervention (RtI).
- Begin to promote college readiness for all students (work through the fall and try to have ready to go in January): have teachers post their college school flag and have all staff post their diplomas in their classrooms/work areas and tell their classes their story of growing up and education; establish the idea of getting tickets to your future--HS graduation is your first ticket to your future, College graduation is your second ticket to your future; f) establish an awareness of each grade level of high school and college graduation (in four years); and attach a college spin to everything we do. (Wear college shirts during the year).

Summary of Strengths What were the identified strengths? See Farwell ISD Plan for Excellence.

Summary of Needs

What were the identified needs?

See Farwell ISD Plan for Excellence.

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

Staff Quality/Professional Development

Overall Summary

- The teaching staff of Farwell Junior High School will continue to strengthen skills by using innovative technologies, scientifically based research, and best practices to improve instruction.
- The district will continue to provide high quality, sustained, timely, and on-going professional development and opportunities for teacher collaboration of vertical and horizontal alignment through weekly collaboration meetings, before-and-after school trainings, and both online and summer trainings.
- Other important staff factors include:
- oAll teaching staff meets the highly qualified criteria for both state and federal standards. We plan to continue to hirehighlyqualifiedstaffwhenpossible.

The following information reflects the 2019-2020 TAPR:

The ron	owing intoin	interfects t	110 2015 2020	174114					
•	We have 17	7.8 total staff m	embers.						
14.9	of	these	(83.9%)	are	Profe	ssional	staff	(State	63.7%)
13.2(73	3.9%)		(State		49.4%)		are		teachers
	0.9	(5%)	(State	10.	.2%)	are	prof	essional	support
	.9	(5.0%)	(State	3.0	%)	are	campu	IS	administrators
	2.9 of these	e (16.1%) are Ed	ucational Aide	es (State 10.6%	5).				
•	78% of our	teaching staff h	ave Bachelors	(State 73.4%);	; 2.9% Maste	rs (22%); a	nd 0.0% Docto	orate (0.7%)	
•	Teaching st	aff years of exp	erience at Far	well Junior Hig	h School:				
0	7.5%	6	are	beginning	3	teacher	S	(State	7.4%)
0	15.2%	have	1	to	5	,	years	(State	27.9%)
0	17.9%	have	6	to	10		years	(State	19.4%)
0	23.4	%	have	11	to	20	years	(State	29.4%)
0	36% have o	ver 20 years (St	ate 15.9%)						
•	Class size av	verages 9.7 stud	lents per teac	her, with 15.1	being the Sta	ite average	2.		
•	Salary avera	ages at Farwell .	Junior High Sc	hool:					
0	-	Teachers,	-	\$51,5	598		(State		\$57,091)
0	Campus	Administr	ation	(School	Leadershi	p),	\$78,448	(State	\$82,512)

Summary of Strengths

What were the identified strengths?

- Farwell Junior High School has an excellent balance of veteran and beginning teachers (highly qualified staff).
- All of our paraprofessionals are highly qualified.
- Four of our teachers and one campus administrator are ESL certified.
- Enjoyable work environment for faculty/staff.
- Providing resources and materials for instruction is an administration priority.
- Administration support for personnel is in place.

Summary of Needs

What were the identified needs?

 Needs assessment surveys, grade level and department team discussions, and teacher requests indicate a need for continued training in the TEKS Resource System, the student management system (TEXES), DMAC, Lead4ward,

Reading Plus and Get More Math.

- Through these venues, teachers need additional training in Instructional Delivery, Rtl, GT, ELL strategies for ELPS, and 21st Century Skills.
- All core course (ELA, Math, Science, and Social Studies) teachers need additional support, intensive collaboration, and strengthened vertical alignment to improve student success.
- Continue to use collaboration as a vehicle to enhance instruction and instruction methods that maximize student learning.
- Increase the rigor of instruction
- Utilize data to drive instuction

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

Curriculum, Instruction, Assessment

Overall Summary

School Improvement

- Farwell Junior High School has developed and revised the Campus Improvement Plan (CIP) in consultation with parents, school staff, and others through the school's Campus Improvement Team (CIT). This committee meets several times throughout the school year and reviews testing data and monitors continuous school improvement.
- Some examples of activities on the campus regarding Curriculum and Instruction:

Continued use of the TEKS resource system, Lead4ward,new science DMAC 0 curriculum and Provides tutorials for struggling students (before school, during the tutorial period, and after school) 0 Will provide more intensive and sustained professional development before school starts and throughout the year. 0 Instituted mandatory tutorials on campus for students who have not completed their work. 0 Will continually improve teaching and learning through the integration of technology in the classroom 0 Utilize reports from DMAC, Intermim Assessments, and bi-weekly meetings with the principal and instructional coach to 0 drive instruction

Summary of Strengths

What were the identified strengths?

- TEKS Resource System based upon the state's required curriculum of the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and other exemplar resources serve as the curriculum for the core content areas (K-12) of the campus. Teachers use the TEKS Resource System and other exemplars in order to assist them in teaching at increasing levels of rigor and depth in their instruction.
- Common assessments through the TEKS Resource System and other assessments reviewed collaboratively by core teacher groups continue to develop a strong assessment program throughout the campus.
- Chapter, unit, and semester tests along with benchmark exams, serve as opportunities to identify areas for struggling students that require additional student support and intervention.
- Teachers continue to work on interventions (Rtl) and are working hard to bridge the achievement gap for all students. This common language and increasing awareness of the academic needs of our students continues to grow and to strengthen.
- Data talks utilized to track student progress
- DMAC, Get More Math, and Reading Plus

Summary of Needs

What were the identified needs?

- In working with teacher groups, the campus needs to continue improving the quality of instruction and rigor, and
 assessments for all areas in order to increase student performance. The new state assessment system is more
 rigorous than past tests and will require changes and adjustments in teaching and learning in order for students to be
 successful.
- All campuses must identify the needs of their core area and address them in a logical vertical and horizontal plan. This
 will be done through campus committees with the curriculum directors and principals as the leaders of this
 movement.

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

Family and Community Involvement

Overall Summary

• Farwell Junior High School believes in engaged parental and community involvement through a strong partnership with parents.

Summary of Strengths What were the identified strengths?

- For parents, students, and community members, Farwell Junior High School uses the district website, Monthly Newsletters home, FJH Facebook page, a phone app to facilitate better communication and interaction among all stakeholders.
- Through the Parent Portal, parents have electronic, real-time access to their children's grades, attendance data, and easy access for continued communication with their children's teachers.
- The Farwell Junior High School Campus Site Based Committee meets regularly on the campus to focus on improving instruction.

Summary of Needs

What were the identified needs?

- The district and campuses need to improve efforts to publicize scheduled meetings, events, and activities that will improve parent, family, and community involvement.
- The annual Spring Talent Show is an area that can continue to improve our parental and community involvement for Farwell ISD

Family Bunco/Nacho Night in conjuncton with report card pick up to reach parents who may not be able to attend the following afternoon

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

Programs

Overall Summary

- The campus is a Title I, State Compensatory, and Migrant School Wide District;
- The campus is rated a "B"
- Initiatives that support student achievement include the following: TEKS Resource System; DMAC; common assessments with performance indicators; intervention ; technology integration in all classrooms; an ISS/DAEP Program; daily tutorials; and summer

school.

Summary of Strengths

What were the identified strengths?

- The use of DMAC software in data evaluation of state assessments and PGPs (Personal Graduation Plans);
- Parents access to the website to check on their students' academic progress;
- Use of Smart Board technology, COWs(Computers on Wheels) and Smart TVs;
- Built in daily tutorial time, Homework Hour twice a week

Summary of Needs

What were the identified needs?

- The development and use of common assessments in core content areas
- Professional learning for all staff in best practices, differentiation, and other topics of interest
- Improve targeted areas of reading, Special Education, and English learners
- More training for teachers and administrators in common assessments
- More in depth training for teachers in technology integration in the classroom, emphasis on Google classroom
- Continued improvement in the areas of parental and community involvement

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

Technology

Overall Summary

- Farwell Junior High School commits to encouraging all students and community members to become life-long learners. Essential to this vision is the development of the use of progressive technology that empowers teachers, students, and other adults to create learning experiences from the vast storehouse of information available both within and beyond the school building. In educating its students for the future, the campus believes that while maintaining and enhancing our current technology network is important, we must play a part in equipping students, teachers, and the community to use all technologies efficiently.
- While the CIT will be creating a vision for technology on our campus, we realize the single most important factor in determining the success of technology in the classroom is a teacher who is able to incorporate technology into instruction.

Summary of Strengths What were the identified strengths?

See separate technology plan for details.

Summary of Needs What were the identified needs?

- Continue the provision of Microsoft Office for staff to use at home to improve instructional design and strategies used in the classroom.
- Continued training for Smart TV's, additional Chromebooks in the classrooms, and how to utilize DMAC reports

Priorities

What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?

Additional Information

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

- Campus goals
- TAPR data longitudinal and current, including:
- Attendance data
- Dropout rate
- Class size data
- College Readiness Data
- Completion Rates / Graduation Rates
- PBMAS data
- The most recent STARR results and accountability status
- Campus and/or district planning and decision making committee meeting discussions
- Local benchmark or common assessments results
- Number of students assigned to special programs, including their academic achievement, race/ethnicity, gender, etc.
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or feedback
- Community and/or parent surveys and/or feedback
- Staff surveys and/or feedback
- Comprehensive Curriculum Analysis
- Evaluation of all special programs, including At-Risk, Homeless, Special Education, Migrant, ESL, 504, Dyslexia, G/T and CATE
- Analysis of current policies
- Evaluation of campus staff issues including staff development, recruitment, teacher qualification/certification and personnel needs.
- State and/or federal planning requirements
- Campus leadership and/or department meetings
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) results
- Prior year(s) campus and/or district improvement plans
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) results
- Tobacco, alcohol, and other drug use data
- ESSA Report Card data
- STAAR, STAAR Spanish, STAAR Alternate testing requirements
- TEA Accountability Summary

Student Performance Data

Reading

				2016-17 Rea	ding STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale		t Meet		oaches		eets		ters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	3	59	9	41	2	9	0	0			
	6	54	1574	16	30	38	70	16	30	8	15
	7	36	1652	6	17	30	83	13	36	8	22
	8	46	1613	5	38	8	62	3	23	0	0
				2017-18 Rea	ding STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	м	eets	Masters	
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	6	33	1582	10	30	23	70	11	33	4	12
	7	55	1658	8	15	47	85	19	35	9	16
	8	35	1668	7	20	28	80	13	37	3	9
				2018-19 Rea	ding STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale		t Meet		oaches		eets		ters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	6	41	1556	15	37	26	63	11	27	6	15
-	7	38	1676	8	21	30	79	20	53	12	32

2016-17 Reading STAAR Results Student Group Did Not Meet Average Approaches Meets Masters Grade Level # Students Tested Scale # % # % # % # % Score Hispanic/ 6 23 1526 10 43 13 57 5 22 2 9 Latino 7 19 1636 4 21 15 79 5 26 3 16 6 8 1627 2 33 4 67 2 33 0 0 2017-18 Reading STAAR Results Student Group Did Not Meet Approaches Average Meets Masters Grade Level # Students Tested Scale Score # % # % # % # % Hispanic/ 6 20 1580 6 30 14 70 7 35 2 10 Latino 7 24 1609 6 25 18 75 5 21 2 8 8 19 1632 7 37 12 63 5 26 1 5 2018-19 Reading STAAR Results Student Group Did Not Meet Approaches Meets Masters Average Grade Level # Students Tested Scale % # Score # % # % # % Hispanic/ 6 20 1519 9 45 11 55 3 15 3 15 Latino 7 21 1658 4 12 57 7 33 19 17 81 8 22 1610 8 36 14 64 6 27 2 9 2016-17 Reading STAAR Results Student Group

			Average	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Mas	ters
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
White	6	31	1610	6	19	25	81	11	35	6	19
	7	17	1670	2	12	15	88	8	47	5	29
	8	21									
				2017-18 Rea	ading STAAR F	Results					
Student Group											
			Average	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	M	eets	Mas	ters
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
White	6	13	1584	4	31	9	69	4	31	2	15
	7	31	1696	2	6	29	94	14	45	7	23
	8	16	1711	0	0	16	100	8	50	2	13
Student Group				2018-19 Rea	ading STAAR F	≀esults					
T											
	Crede Loval	" Ch. James Torted	Average	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Mas	ters
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did No #	ot Meet %	Appro	oaches %	Me #	eets %	Mas #	ters %
White	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale								
White			Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
White .	6	21	Scale Score 1591	#	% 29	# 15	% 71	#	% 38	#	% 14
White	6	21 17	Scale Score 1591 1697	# 6 4 3	% 29 24	# 15 13 30	% 71 76	# 8 8	% 38 47	# 3 5	% 14 29
White .	6	21 17	Scale Score 1591 1697	# 6 4 3	% 29 24 9	# 15 13 30	% 71 76	# 8 8	% 38 47	# 3 5	% 14 29
	6 7 8	21 17 33	Scale Score 1591 1697 1712 Average	# 6 4 3 2016-17 Rea	% 29 24 9	# 15 13 30 Results	% 71 76	# 8 8 17	% 38 47	# 3 5	% 14 29 24
Student Group	6	21 17	Scale Score 1591 1697 1712	# 6 4 3 2016-17 Rea	% 29 24 9 ading STAAR F	# 15 13 30 Results	% 71 76 91	# 8 8 17	% 38 47 52	# 3 5 8	% 14 29 24
Student Group	6 7 8	21 17 33	Scale Score 1591 1697 1712 Average Scale	# 6 4 3 2016-17 Rea	% 29 24 9 ading STAAR F	# 15 13 30 Results Appro	% 71 76 91 00000000000000000000000000000000000	# 8 8 17 Me	% 38 47 52	# 3 5 8 Mas	% 14 29 24 ters

	8	32	1630	3	30	7	70	3	30	0	0
				2017-18 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	м	eets	Ma	sters
Feenomically		h Students rester	Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Economically - Disadvantaged _	6	23	1554	9	39	14	61	5	22	2	9
_	7	29	1605	7	24	22	76	6	21	1	3
	8	17	1606	7	41	10	59	2	12	0	0
				2018-19 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did Not Meet Approaches Meets		Masters					
Economically -			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Disadvantaged _	6	20	1532	7	35	13	65	5	25	2	10
-	7	25	1640	7	28	18	72	11	44	7	28
	8	27	1605	9	33	18	67	5	19	0	0
	6	9	1498	5	56	4	44	0	0	0	0
Limited English Proficient _	7	8	1582	3	38	5	63	0	0	0	0
	8	1									
	6	3									
Limited English Proficient _	7	8	1593	3	38	5	63	1	13	0	0
	8	8	1535	6	75	2	25	0	0	0	0
	6	7	1472	4	57	3	43	0	0	0	0
Limited English Proficient	7	4									
Proficient _	8	8	1560	5	63	3	38	0	0	0	0

	7	3			1						1
	8	7	1566	5	71	2	29	1	14		
	6	5	1446	5	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
pecial Education	7	5	1503	4	80	1	20	0	0	0	0
-	8	3		1							
	6	2						'			
pecial Education	7	6	1509	6	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
-	8	6	1531	4	67	2	33	0	0	0	0
				2016-17 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group				1							
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Mas	ters
-			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
At-Risk	6	25	1506	12	48	13	52	0	0	0	0
_	7	19	1598	6	32	13	68	3	16	1	5
	8	29	1570	14	48	15	52	1	3	0	0
				2017-18 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale		ot Meet		oaches		eets	Mas	
At-Risk		++	Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
-	6	16	1505	10	63	6	38	2	13	0	0
-	7	28	1592	7	25	21	75	2	7	0	0
	8	11	1562	4	36	7	64	0	0	0	0
				2018-19 Rea	ading STAAR	Results					

At-Risk	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did Not Meet # %		Approaches # %		Meets # %		Masters # %	
	6	22	1488	13	59	9	41	2	9	0	0
	7	16	1585	8	50	8	50	4	25	1	6
	8	27	1586	11	41	16	59	2	7	0	0

Writing

		T		2016-17	Writing STAA	R Results		1		1	
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did N	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Mas	sters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	7	36	3856	7	19	29	81	13	36	2	6
	7	19	3782	5	26	14	74	5	26	1	5
	7	0									
	7	0									
Student Group	7	0									
	7	0									
	7	17	3939	2	12	15	88	8	47	1	6
	7	0									
	7	22	3749	5	23	17	77	5	23	1	5
	7	8	3544	3	38	5	63	0	0	0	0
	7	3									
	7	19	3611	6	32	13	68	1	5	0	0
				2017-18	3 Writing STAA	R Results		1		1	
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did N	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Ma	sters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%

7	55	3833	15	27	40	73	22	40	4	7
7	24	3630	8	33	16	67	6	25	0	0
7	0									
7	0									
7	31	3990	7	23	24	77	16	52	4	13
7	0									
7	29	3629	11	38	18	62	8	28	0	0
7	8	3441	4	50	4	50	1	13	0	C
7	5	3202	4	80	1	20	0	0	0	C
7	28	3561	12	43	16	57	4	14	0	0

	1					1		1		1	
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	t Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	ets	Mas	sters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	7	38	3915	9	24	29	76	15	39	8	21
	7	21	3899	5	24	16	76	9	43	3	14
	7	0									
Student Group	7	0									
	7	17	3935	4	24	13	76	6	35	5	29
	7	0									
	7	25	3770	8	32	17	68	8	32	3	12
	7	4									
	7	6	3110	5	83	1	17	0	0	0	0
	7	16	3514	7	44	9	56	2	13	0	0

English I

2016-17 English I STAAR Results

Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did N	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Mas	iters		
		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
			2017-18	English I STA	AR Results		1		1			
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did N	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Mas	sters		
		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
2018-19 English I STAAR Results												
			2018-19	English I STA	AR Results							
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did Not Meet		Approaches		Meets		Masters			
		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
English II												
			2016-17	English II English II STA	AR Results				1			
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale				oaches	Me	eets	Mas	iters		
Student Group	# Students Tested			English II STA		paches %	Me #	eets %	Mas #	sters %		
Student Group	# Students Tested	Scale	Did N #	English II STA ot Meet %	Appro #							
Student Group	# Students Tested	Scale	Did N #	English II STA ot Meet	Appro #							
Student Group	# Students Tested	Scale Score Average Scale	Did N # 2017-18	English II STA ot Meet %	Appro # AR Results		#		#			
		Scale Score Average	Did N # 2017-18	English II STA ot Meet % English II STA	Appro # AR Results	%	#	%	#	%		
		Scale Score Average Scale	Did N # 2017-18 Did N #	English II STA ot Meet % English II STA ot Meet	Appro # AR Results Appro #	%	# 	% eets	# Mas	%		

Student Group	# Students Tested	Sca		Did Not Me	eet	Approac	ches	Mee	ts	Masi	ters
			ore	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
				Ma	athematics						
				2016-17 Mathe	ematics STA	AR Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level # Students Tested		Average Scale Score	Did Not Meet		Approaches # %		Me	eets %	Masi #	ters %
All Students	6	54	1620	14	26	40	74	21	39	7	13
-	7	36	1642	11	31	25	69	11	31	3	8
	8	46	1627	16	35	30	65	8	17	3	7
Student Group				2017-18 Mathe	ematics STAA	R Results					
	Grade Level # 5	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did No #	ot Meet %	Appro	oaches %	Me	eets %	Masi #	ters %
			JUULE.		/0		/0	π		#	70
All Students	6					29	88	16	48	5	15
All Students	<u> </u>	33 55	1649 1674	4	12 20	29 44	88 80	16 24	48 44	5 9	15 16
All Students			1649	4	12						
All Students	7	55	1649 1674	4	12 20 23	44 27	80	24	44	9	16
All Students	7	55	1649 1674	4 11 8	12 20 23	44 27	80	24	44	9	16
Student Group	7 8	55	1649 1674 1735 Average Scale	4 11 8 2018-19 Mathe	12 20 23 ematics STAA	44 27 AR Results Appro	80 77 oaches	24 20 Me	44 57	9 7 Masi	16 20 ters
	7 8	55 35	1649 1674 1735 Average	4 11 8 2018-19 Mathe	12 20 23 ematics STAA	44 27 AR Results	80 77	24 20	44 57	9 7	16 20

	8	48	1722	9	19	39	81	29	60	7	15
			2	016-17 Mathe	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group											
			Average	Did Nc	ot Meet	Appro	aches	M	leets	Ma	sters
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Hispanic/	6	23	1577	9	39	14	61	5	22	1	4
	7	19	1624	7	37	12	63	4	21	1	5
	8	24	1605	11	46	13	54	2	8	1	4
			2	2017-18 Mathe	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level # Students Tested		Average Scale	Did Nc	ot Meet	Apprc	oaches	Mr	eets	Ma	sters
Hispanic/	Grade Level		Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Latino	6	20	1650	2	10	18	90	10	50	3	15
	7	24	1608	10	42	14	58	6	25	1	4
	8	19	1677	7	37	12	63	9	47	2	11
			2	018-19 Mathe	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group											
			Average	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	paches	м	leets	Ma	sters
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Hispanic/	6	20	1618	4	20	16	80	8	40	2	10
	7	21	1702	2	10	19	90	13	62	4	19
	8	22	1681	7	32	15	68	8	36	2	9
			2	2016-17 Mathe	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group											

	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	м	eets	Mas	sters
-			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
White	6	31	1652	5	16	26	84	16	52	6	19
_	7	17	1662	4	24	13	76	7	41	2	12
	8	21	1659	4	19	17	81	6	29	2	10
			20	017-18 Mathe	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group											
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	M	eets	Mas	sters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
White	6	13	1647	2	15	11	85	6	46	2	15
	7	31	1724	1	3	30	97	18	58	8	26
	8	16	1803	1	6	15	94	11	69	5	31
tudent Groun	0	10		018-19 Mathe	1	1					
Student Group			20 Average		ematics STAA	R Results	paches		eets		sters
Student Group	o Grade Level	# Students Tested	2(018-19 Mathe	ematics STAA	R Results					1
Student Group			20 Average Scale	018-19 Mathe	ematics STAA	AR Results	paches	M	eets	Mas	sters
· ·	Grade Level	# Students Tested	20 Average Scale Score	018-19 Mathe Did No #	ematics STAA ot Meet %	Appro#	paches %	M	eets %	Mas #	sters %
· ·	Grade Level	# Students Tested	20 Average Scale Score 1695	018-19 Mathe	ematics STAA ot Meet % 0	R Results Appro # 21	paches % 100	M # 14	eets %	Mas # 6	sters % 29
· ·	Grade Level 6 7	# Students Tested 21 17	Average Scale Score 1695 1699 1756	018-19 Mathe	ematics STAA	Appro # 21 14 24	0aches % 100 82	M # 14 9	eets % 67 53	Mas # 6 4	sters % 29 24
· ·	Grade Level 6 7	# Students Tested 21 17	Average Scale Score 1695 1699 1756	018-19 Mathe	ematics STAA	Appro # 21 14 24	0aches % 100 82	M # 14 9	eets % 67 53	Mas # 6 4	sters % 29 24
White -	Grade Level 6 7	# Students Tested 21 17	Average Scale Score 1695 1699 1756 20 Average Scale	018-19 Mathe	ematics STAA	Appro # 21 14 24 R Results Appro	paches % 100 82 92 92 paches	M # 14 9 21 M	eets % 67 53 81 eets	Mas # 6 4 5 5 Mas	sters % 29 24 19 sters
White - Student Group - Economically -	Grade Level 6 7 8 Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score 1695 1699 1756 20 Average Scale Score	018-19 Mathe	ematics STAA	Appro # 21 14 24 R Results Appro #	paches % 100 82 92 92 paches %	M # 14 9 21 %	eets % 67 53 81 eets %	Mas # 6 4 5 5 Mas #	sters % 29 24 19 sters %
White -	Grade Level 6 7 8	# Students Tested 21 17 26	Average Scale Score 1695 1699 1756 20 Average Scale	018-19 Mathe	ematics STAA	Appro # 21 14 24 R Results Appro	paches % 100 82 92 92 paches	M # 14 9 21 M	eets % 67 53 81 eets	Mas # 6 4 5 5 Mas	sters % 29 24 19 sters

2017-18 Mathematics STAAR Results Student Group Average Did Not Meet Approaches Meets Masters Grade Level # Students Tested Scale Score # % # % # % # % Economically Disadvantaged 2018-19 Mathematics STAAR Results Student Group Did Not Meet Meets Average Approaches Masters Grade Level # Students Tested Scale % Score # % # % # # % Economically Disadvantaged Limited English Proficient Limited English Proficient Limited English Proficient **Special Education**

	6	5	1545	3	60	2	40	1	20	0	0
pecial Education	7	5	1554	3	60	2	40	0	0	0	0
-	8	2									
	6	2									
pecial Education	7	6	1571	4	67	2	33	1	17	0	0
-	8	6	1653	2	33	4	67	2	33	0	0
		-	2	016-17 Math	ematics STAA	R Results	·				
Student Group											
	Grade Level # Students Tested		Average Did Not Meet Scale		Approaches		Meets		Mas	sters	
_		# Students Tested	Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
At-Risk -	6	25	1550	11	44	14	56	4	16	0	0
_	7	19	1579	9	47	10	53	1	5	0	0
	8	19	1570	14	48	15	52	1	3	0	0
			2	017-18 Math	ematics STAA	R Results					
Student Group											
			Average	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	ets	Mas	sters
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
At-Risk	6	16	1586	4	25	12	75	4	25	0	0
=	7	28	1601	10	36	18	64	5	18	0	0
-	8	9	1554	7	78	2	22	0	0	0	0
·			2	018-19 Math	ematics STAA	R Results	•		-	•	
Student Group											
			Average	Did No	t Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	ets	Mas	iters
At-Risk	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Scale Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
-	7	16	1611	5	31	11	69	5	31	0	0

	8		27 2	1663 9	33	18	67	11	41	2	7
					Algebra I						
	1			2016-17	Algebra I STA	AR Results				1	
Student Group	# Studen	ts Tested	Average Scale	Did N	lot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Ma	sters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
				2017-18	Algebra I STA	AR Results				1	
Student Group	# Studen	ts Tested	Average Scale	Did N	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Meets		Masters	
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
			Average		Algebra I STA		paches	Me	eets	Ma	sters
Student Group	# Studen	ts Tested	Scale Score								
				#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students White		1	4951 4951	0	0	7	100 100	7	100 100	7	100 100
	1			1	Science	1	11		1		1
	1			2016-1	7 Science STA	AR Results					
Grade Level # Students Tested Average Did Not Meet Approaches Meets Masters											
	Grade Level	# Students T									
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students T	ested Scale Score		%	#	%	#	%	#	%

	8	22	3919	4	18	18	82	9	41	4	18
	8	1							1		
	8	31	3714	9	29	22	71	10	32	1	3
	8	6	3501	3	50	3	50	2	33	0	0
	8	7	3419	5	71	2	29	0	0	0	0
				2017-18	Science STAA	R Results					
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did Nc	ot Meet	Apprc	oaches	M	eets	Ma	sters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	8	35	3913	9	26	26	74	14	40	5	14
Student Group	8	19	3720	9	47	10	53	4	21	1	5
· · · · · ·	8	16	4143	0	0	16	100	10	63	4	25
	8	0									
	8	17	3662	8	47	9	53	5	29	0	0
	8	8	3513	5	63	3	38	2	25	0	0
	8	3									
	_	1 1		2018-19	Science STAA	R Results		T		Ţ	
	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Approaches		Meets		Ma	sters
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	8	55	3842	8	15	47	85	18	33	3	5
Student Group	8	22	3675	5	23	17	77	3	14	1	5
	8	33	3953	3	9	30	91	15	45	2	6
	8	29	3698	6	21	23	79	5	17	1	3
	8	3									
	8	6	3552	1	17	5	83	0	0	0	0

					Biology								
				2016-17	Biology STAA	R Results							
Student Group	# Studen	ts Tested	Average Scale Score	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	paches	Me	eets	Mas	iters		
			Store	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
				2017-18	Biology STAA	R Results							
Student Group Average Did Not Meet Approaches Meets Masters Student Group # Students Tested Scale Score Image: Colspan="5">Image: Colspan="5">Colspan="5">Colspan="5">Colspan="5">Colspan="5">Colspan="5">Colspan="5">Colspan="5">Colspan="5"													
-			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
				2018-19	Biology STAA	R Results							
Student Group	# Studen	ts Tested	Average Scale	Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Ma	ters		
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
				2016-17 So	Social Studie cial Studies ST								
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Teste		Did No	ot Meet	Appro	oaches	Me	eets	Ma	ters		
			Score	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		
All Students	8	47	3481	25	53	22	47	6	13	2	4		
Hispanic/ Latino	8	24	3381	16	67	8	33	0	0	0	0		
White	8	22	3614	8	36	14	64	6	27	2	9		
Two or More Races	8	1											

Economically

l											
Disadvantaged								1			
Limited English Proficient	8	6	3222	5	83	1	17	0	0	0	0
Special Education	8	7	3197	6	86	1	14	1	14	0	0

2017-18 Social Studies STAAR Results											
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did Not Meet		Approaches		Meets		Masters	
				#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	8	35	3697	14	40	21	60	10	29	4	11
Hispanic/ Latino	8	19	3484	12	63	7	37	2	11	1	5
White	8	16	3950	2	13	14	88	8	50	3	19
Economically Disadvantaged	8	17	3447	12	71	5	29	2	12	0	0
Limited English Proficient	8	8	3270	7	88	1	13	0	0	0	0
Special Education	8	3									

2018-19 Social Studies STAAR Results											
Student Group	Grade Level	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did Not Meet		Approaches		Meets		Masters	
				#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
All Students	8	55	3725	19	35	36	65	17	31	9	16
Hispanic/ Latino	8	22	3455	13	59	9	41	4	18	2	9
White	8	33	3906	6	18	27	82	13	39	7	21
Economically Disadvantaged	8	29	3502	16	55	13	45	6	21	2	7
Limited English Proficient	8	3									
Special Education	8	6	3510	4	67	2	33	1	17	0	0

				U.S History						
			2016-17 U	.S. History ST	AAR Results					
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did Not Meet		Approaches		Meets		Masters	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	2017-18 U.S. History STA		AAR Results Approaches		Meets		Masters	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
			2018-19 U	.S. History ST/	AAR Results					
Student Group	# Students Tested	Average Scale Score	Did Not Meet		Approaches		Meets		Masters	
			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%

Goals and Strategies

Goal 1:

Farwell ISD will promote academic excellence for all students.

Performance Objective 1:

Junior High curriculum, instruction and assessment alignment across the campus.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation: MAP, Benchmark, and STAAR/EOC results.

Strategy/Activity 1

(1.1) Utilize the Book Whisperer, Mentoring Minds, Reading Plus, HMH Grades 6-8.(SW Element: 2.5)

Timeline

Teachers use these resources daily.

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Teachers Library Assistant

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Book Circulation, Interest in reading improves, STAAR Reading Results (SW Element: 2.5)

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Source

Local Funds

Strategy/Activity 2

(1.2) Administer benchmark exams to test all students in the core areas

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Curriculum Dir. Teachers

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Documented improvement and growth for all students on Interim benchmarks

Reviews			
Formative Summative			
Resources			
Source	Local Funds		
Strategy/Activity 3			
(1.3) Utilize TEKSRESOURCE system, DMAC, and Lead4Ward Field Guides to better align instructional planning and teaching/learning strategies			
Timeline			

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Curriculum Dir. Teachers

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact Lesson Plan Development/Student Achievem	ient
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
Strategy/Activity 4	
(1.4) Research/Presentations/Debates regard 2.5)	ding historical facts, events, cause and effect of the curriculum in the History TEKS. New curriculum targets vocabulary and timelines. (SW Element:
Timeline	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Principal Curriculum Dir. Teachers	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
	videnced by the results from teacher developed exams, benchmark exams, STAAR practice exams and the STAAR exam
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
Objective 2: Farwell ISD will implement strategies to in STAAR/EOC scores in all core areas for all s	ncrease student learning in all academic fields at a minimum approaching proficiency or better on state exams and improving students. (2, RS)
Evaluation Data Source(s):	

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(2.1) Tutorials in all core classes in the morning before school and during BLUE period, as well as Homework Hour offered after school twice a week. (SW Element: 2.5, 2.6)

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Teachers

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Improved Core Class Daily Grades STAAR Results – Social Studies, Science, Math, Reading

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Source

Local Funds

Strategy/Activity 2

(2.2) Provide Reading Plus and Get More Math to build skills of students performing below grade level by developing strategies targeting students specific learning gaps. (SW Element: 2.5)

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Instructional Coach Reading and SPED Teachers

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

6 weeks grades, 3-week progress reports and attendance rate Decreased retention rates, failure rate, and a decrease in drop-outs. Build more confident readers.

Reviews		
Formative Summative		
Resources		
Source	Local Funds	
Source	Local Funds	
Strategy/Activity 3		
	C, Mentoring Minds, and Lead4ward Field Guides.	
Timeline Person(s) Responsible/Monitor		
Principal Teachers Instructional Coach		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact		
Increased student performance based on various assessment results		
Reviews		
Formative Summative		
Resources		

Source	Local Funds
Strategy/Activity 4	
(2.4) Enrichment field trips, assemblies, and a	guest speakers in classrooms.(SW Element: 2.5)
Timeline	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Principal Teachers	
Instructional Coach	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
Increased awareness of relationship betweer	n curriculum and real world experiences.(SW Element: 2.5)
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
Objective 3:	
	ite services for students eligible to special programs including but not limited to: At-Risk, Economically Disadvantaged, Various Dyslexia, Homeless, 504, Head Start and G/T.
Evaluation Data Source(s):	
Summative Evaluation:	
Strategy/Activity 1	
	LAR, Algebraic Builders, Go Math, Get More Math, Quizlet, STAAR Master and academic vocabulary word walls to improve the math skills of all

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor Principal Teachers Instructional Coach Strategy's Expected Result/Impact Increased student performance on assessments Improved student passing rates in Math and Reading Reviews Formative Summative Resources Strategy/Activity 2 (3.2) Provide G/T students with a continuum of learning experiences that lead to advanced level products and/or performances Encourage Campus- wide UIL participation (SW Element: 2.4, 2.5) Timeline Person(s) Responsible/Monitor G/T Coordinator: Hayley Christian G/T certified classroom teachers,

UIL Coordinator: Hayley Christian

UIL coaches

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Student projects; G/T Field trips,

Communication between parents and teacher UIL Participation Placing and awards in UIL	ers
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	G/T
Strategy/Activity 3	
(3.2) Provide Dyslexia related services (SW E	ement: 2.4, 2.5)
Timeline	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Dyslexia and 504 Coordinators	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
Progress Tracker Reports, 504 Annual meetir	ngs
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
Objective 4: Farwell Junior High will provide a compre- restricted environment."	hensive Special Education program to ensure that students with disabilities receive a "free and appropriate education within a "limited
Evaluation Data Source(s):	

Object

Summative Evaluation:

Address needs of Economically Disadvantaged, Homeless and Foster care students and various racial/ethnic groups through education and information provided by Region 16 and the school counselor.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

Monitor students attendance, social-emotional well being, and address academic needs.

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal, secretary, counselor, teachers

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Successful, resilient students.

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Goal 2:

Farwell ISD will provide a safe and orderly school environment for all students to learn and develop.

Performance Objective 1:

Farwell ISD expectations for appropriate student behavior will be clearly communicated to all students and parents.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(1.1) Enforce the Student Code of Conduct, utilizing consistent discipline strategies, Capturing Kids Hearts Classroom Student Contracts, Student Shout-outs, Steer Blue Cards, Relationship building is a priority.

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Teachers Paraprofessionals Instructional Coach

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Discipline Referrals Student/Parent Signatures on Contracts More confident students

Reviews		
Formative Summative		
Resources		

Strategy/Activity 2

(1.2) Red Ribbon Week to promote drug and alcohol abstinence

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Principal	
Counselor	
School Nurse	
Student Council	
Stratogu's Exported Posult/Impact	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
Student Participation Sign-Up Sheets	
Drug Awareness Activities	
Guest Speaker	
Reviews	
Formative	
Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
Strategy/Activity 3	
	by utilizing uniform classroom procedures campus wide
Timeline	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Teachers	
Principal	

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Walk through data, T-TESS, reduced disciplined referrals

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Description

Walk through data, T-TESS, reduced disciplined referrals

Strategy/Activity 4

(1.4) Provide incentives for perfect attendance including semester test exemption, and perfect attendance awards, early lunch each week for best attendance

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal JH Secretary

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Daily Attendance records, ADA, Student Assessment performance

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Source

Local Funds

Strategy/Activity 5

(1.5) Develop student citizenship by providing opportunities for them to give back to the community.

Time	

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Teachers

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Amount Collected in the following: Monies for families in need Peanut butter and pop tarts for Snack Pack Program Christmas Cards for Seniors

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Objective 2:

The campus will develop programs and strategies to respond to emergencies effectively.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(2.1) Staff Development – Farwell ISD Emergency Activation Plan

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal

Safety Committee	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
Staff Attendance Sheet	
Successful Crisis Drills	
Reviews	
Formative	
Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
Strategy/Activity 2	
Provide support and guidance regarding suic	cide prevention, conflict resolution, violence prevention/intervention, dating violence and bullying/harrassment.
Timeline	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
School counselor, principal, teachers	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
	lents and parents in order to build a healthy home/school environment.
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	

Goal 3:

Farwell ISD will continue to foster and improve parent/community relations.

Performance Objective 1:

A variety of communication techniques will be utilized to keep parents and community members informed about school programs and activities.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(1.1) Junior High - Title 1 Parent Meeting offered at flexible dates and times so as many parents can attend as possible. (SW Element: 3.2)

Timeline

August 10, 2020 (10 AM-7:30PM); August 11, 2020 (7-8 AM), August 13, 2020 (new student orientation, 6:30 PM) February 19, 2021 (12:30-3 PM)

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Teachers

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact			
Parent Sign-In Sheets			
Reviews			
Formative Summative			
Resources			
Source	Local Funds		
Campus Improvement Plan for		51 of 68	6/23/21

Strategy/Activity 2

(1.2) Parent Conference Days – Early release for conferencing about report cards, student progress and attendance

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor Principal Teachers Paraprofessionals		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact		
Parent Sign-In Sheets		
Reviews		
Formative Summative		
Resources		
Source	Local Funds	
Strategy/Activity 3		
	third week of each six weeks and documentation of parent contact regarding student progress and attendance	
Timeline		
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor		
Principal Teachers		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact		
Teacher documentation of parent contact		
Campus Improvement Plan for	52 of 68	6/23/21

Reviews			
Formative Summative			
Resources			
Source	Local Funds		
Strategy/Activity 4			
(1.4) 6th grade Orientation – introduce pare	nts and students to the Junior High		
Timeline			
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor			
Principal Teachers			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact			
Parent Sign-In Sheets			
Reviews			
Formative Summative			
Resources			
Objective 2: The number and diversity of parent and c	ommunity member participation in volunteer ac	ctivities, site-based decision committees and parent	/teacher organizations will increase.
Evaluation Data Source(s):			
Summative Evaluation:			
Campus Improvement Plan for	53	3 of 68	6/23/21

Strategy/Activity 1

(2.1) Promote parent/community involvement through: Rotary Student of the Month, Pep Rallies, FFA Activities, Parent/Teacher Conferences, Awards Assemblies, Band/Athletics, UIL, Spring Talent Show, CATCH night, Art show and Lady Blue/Steer supper and ice cream, and parents on field trips Involve parents and community members in the development and revision of the Parent and Family Engagement Policy and Campus Improvement Plan. The PFE policy will be distuibuted to parents in August during registration and the fall Title 1 meetings. Parents will be notified on how to access the CIP through comunication in the Farwell ISD Student Handbook and at Title I meetings throughout the year. (SW Element 2.1, 3.1)

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor
Principal Teachers Coaches
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact Increased parental/community involvement in school activities
Reviews
Formative Summative

Resources

Goal 4:

Provide Farwell ISD students with a strong, progressive, and quality education by way of a highly qualified staff.

Performance Objective 1:

Farwell Junior High administration will ensure that effective teachers and hghly qulaified paraprofessionals as mandated by state and federal mandates will teach all students.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(1.1) Hiring highly qualified staff according to ESSA guidelines

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Superintendent Principal

Strategy's Expected Result/Impac	ct		
Principal Attestation of Highly Qualified Staff			
Reviews			
Formative Summative			
Resources			
Source	Local Funds		
Objective 2:			
Campus Improvement Plan for		55 of 68	6/23/21

Farwell Junior High faculty and staff will be provided the opportunity to attend a variety of staff development/training activities.

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(2.1) Developing a campus-wide professional development plan based upon needs identified through disaggregated student data.

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Teachers Paraprofessionals

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Certificates of completion of PD
Sign-In Sheets
Increased student performance based on various assessment result

Reviews		
Formative Summative		
Resources		
Source	Local Funds	
Strategy/Activity 2		
(2.2) Staff development activities for identifying, intervention, assessing needs, planning, modifying, tutor/remediate, and serving students' needs. (SW Element: 2.4, 2.6)		

Timelir	

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Principal SCE ESC-16	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
Increased student performance based on var	ious assessment results, fewer dropouts, fewer student retentions, higher attendance and graduation rates
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Comp. Ed.

Goal 5:

Progressive and innovative technology will be integrated throughout the District to enhance student achievement.

Performance Objective 1:

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(5.1) Utilize Smart TV's to promote student learning and improve instruction

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Teachers

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact		
Student assessment data, walk-throughs		
Reviews Formative Summative		
Resources		
Source	Local Funds	
Strategy/Activity 2		
(5.2) Utilize DMAC Mobile Technology for Clas	ssroom Walk-Through's	

Timeline	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Principal	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
Increased student performance based on var	ious assessment results
Reviews	
neviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
Strategy/Activity 3	
	n Wheels for classroom projects and instruction as well as the video conference room
Timeline	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Teachers	
Principal	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
Daily lesson plans, student work and assessm	ent data
Davisuus	
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds

Goal :6

All instructional personnel will establish challenging goals for all students including improving attendance and decreasing the dropout rate and will also provide effective feedback through assessments and a system of interventions for at-risk students.

Farwell ISD will promote academic excellence for all students.

Performance Objective 1:

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(6.1) Monitor student attendance and communication of attendance and progress to parents and students through phone conversations, letters to parents and personal conferences

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Teachers Campus Improvement Team (CIT)

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Increased student performance based on various assessment results Teacher documentation of parent contact

Reviews

Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
Strategy/Activity 2	
(6.2) Progress report schedules developed an	nd given to parents during our registration and Title I parent meeting
Timeline	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Principal	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	
	ocumentation of parent contact at the end of each progress report time.
Reviews	
Formative Summative	
Resources	
Source	Local Funds
Strategy/Activity 3	
	e failed or are at-risk of failing academic courses. Staff tutorial schedule created and presented to parents during registration and Title I parent
Timeline	
Person(s) Responsible/Monitor	
Principal Teachers	
reachers	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact	

Documentation of such event Student sign-in sheets for tutorials		
Reviews		
Formative Summative		
Resources		
Source	Local Funds	

Goal 7:

Systems, services, infrastructures and facilities planning, implementation and maintenance will support and enhance the District's educational and operational structures so that students can graduate from high school.

Performance Objective 1:

Evaluation Data Source(s):

Summative Evaluation:

Strategy/Activity 1

(7.1) Transportation requests

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor		
Principal		

. Transportation Manager

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Transportation requests will be used to transport students to and from tutorials.

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Strategy/Activity 2

(7.2) Work orders

Timeline

Person(s) Responsible/Monitor

Principal Maintenance Staff

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact

Staff members will utilize work orders to communicate with maintenance in order to keep the facilities safe and provide an environment conducive to learning.

Reviews

Formative Summative

Resources

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan

Total Allocations and Expenditures by Funding Source

Allocations by Funding Source

Funding Source

Amount

Balance

Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source

Amount

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan

Total Expenditures by Object Type

Budget Reference

Amount

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan

Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source

Budget Reference

Funding Source

Amount

State Compensatory

2020-2021 Improvement plans

Budget for District Improvement Plan:

Account Function	Account Object	Budget
11-Instruction	6112 Substitute Pay	\$0
	6119 At-Risk Coordinator, Reading Intervention Teacher, Summer School	\$302,970.00
	6129 State Comp Aides	\$42,510.00
	6141 Medicare	\$4,222.00
	6142 Insurance	\$31,284.00
	6143 Workers Comp	\$3,598.00
	6144 TRS On behalf	\$15,532.00
	6146 TRS Retirement	\$20,139.00
	6149 Employee Benefits	\$279.00
34-Transportation	6129 Salaries	\$1,000.00
	6100 Subtotal	\$421,534
21-Leadership	6239 Contract, ESC	\$5,460.80
	6200 Subtotal	\$5,460.80
11-Instruction	6399 Supplies, Istation, Credit Recovery, testing	\$11,000.00
	6300 Subtotal	\$11,000.00
13-Staff Development	6411 Travel	\$0
	6400 Subtotal	\$0
		4407 004 00

Program Intent 30 Total

\$437,994.80

Personnel for District Improvement Plan:

Karen SchillingDistrictAt-Risk Teacher/CoordinatorSCE0.9625Amy BarnesElementaryElementary TutorialsSCE0.0600Blair MorrisElementaryElementary TutorialsSCE0.0600Mitzi BranscumElementaryElementary TutorialsSCE0.0600ElementaryElementaryElementary TutorialsSCE0.0600Mitzi BranscumElementaryTitle L Enrichment AideBEAP1.0000					
Blair MorrisElementaryElementarySCE0.0600Mitzi BranscumElementaryElementarySCE0.0600	aren Schilling	District	At-Risk Teacher/Coordinator	SCE	0.9625
Mitzi Branscum Elementary Elementary Tutorials SCE 0.0600	Amy Barnes	Elementary	Elementary Tutorials	SCE	0.0600
	3lair Morris	Elementary	Elementary Tutorials	SCE	0.0600
Elementary Title Enrichment Aide READ 1 0000	itzi Branscum	Elementary	Elementary Tutorials	SCE	0.0600
		Elementary	Title I Enrichment Aide	REAP	1.0000
Pamela FunderburgElementaryElementary TutorialsSCE0.0600	ela Funderburg	Elementary	Elementary Tutorials	SCE	0.0600
Melinda BonnerElementaryEnrichment TeacherSCE0.0600	elinda Bonner	Elementary	Enrichment Teacher	SCE	0.0600
Caitlin Johnson Elementary Elementary Tutorials SCE 0.0600	itlin Johnson	Elementary	Elementary Tutorials	SCE	0.0600
Angela MoulderElementaryElementary TutorialsSCE0.0650	gela Moulder	Elementary	Elementary Tutorials	SCE	0.0650
Crystal Potts Elementary Elementary Tutorials SCE 0.0600	Crystal Potts	Elementary	Elementary Tutorials	SCE	0.0600
Kim NicholsElementaryElementary TutorialsSCE0.0650	Kim Nichols	Elementary	Elementary Tutorials	SCE	0.0650
Chelsee PonderElementaryElementary TutorialsSCE0.0650	elsee Ponder	Elementary	Elementary Tutorials	SCE	0.0650
Jan Hardisty Elementary Elementary Tutorials SCE 0.0650	an Hardisty	Elementary	Elementary Tutorials	SCE	0.0650
ElementarySPED/Enrichment AideTitle 11.0000		Elementary	SPED/Enrichment Aide	Title 1	1.0000
Tanya SteinbockElementaryGT/Enrichment TeacherTitle 11.0000	nya Steinbock	Elementary	GT/Enrichment Teacher	Title 1	1.0000
Jodi UbantkeElementaryElementary TutorialsSCE0.1300	odi Ubantke	Elementary	Elementary Tutorials	SCE	0.1300
Keila MorrisElementaryElementary TutorialsSCE0.0600	<eila morris<="" td=""><td>Elementary</td><td>Elementary Tutorials</td><td>SCE</td><td>0.0600</td></eila>	Elementary	Elementary Tutorials	SCE	0.0600
Elementary Elementary Tutorials SCE		Elementary	Elementary Tutorials	SCE	
Leslie LunsfordElementaryElementary TutorialsSCE0.0600	slie Lunsford	Elementary	Elementary Tutorials	SCE	0.0600
Billie MerrittHigh SchoolEOC Teacher/HS TutorialsSCE0.1200	llie Merritt	High School	EOC Teacher/HS Tutorials	SCE	0.1200
Eric ChadwickHigh SchoolHS TutorialsSCE0.1200	ric Chadwick	High School	HS Tutorials	SCE	0.1200

Crystal Chadwick	High School	HS AIDE	Title II/REAP	0.9741
Nancijane Goodwin	High School	HS Tutorials/EOC Teacher	SCE	0.1200
Cynthia Lopez	High School	HS Tutorials	SCE	0.1200
Wendy Schilling	High School	HS Tutorials	SCE	0.1200
Kelli Schwertner	High School	HS Tutorials/EOC Teacher	SCE	0.1200
Mary Southard	High School	HS Tutorials	SCE	0.1200
Camila Sharp	Junior High	JH Tutorials	SCE	0.1200
Sharon Chacon	Junior High	JH Tutorials	SCE	0.1200
Brittani Grant	Junior High	JH Tutorials	SCE	0.1200
Steve Doolittle	Junior High	JH Tutorials/Reading	SCE	0.1200
Megan Terry	Junior High	Improvement	SCE	0.1200
	Junior High	JH Tutorials	SCE	
		JH Tutorials		
Patti Johnson	Junior High	JH Tutorials	SCE	0.1200
Maddie Flores	Junior High	JH Tutorials	SCE	0.1200